

Conduct and Competence Committee - 19 February 2008

Investigating Committee self-evaluation

Executive summary and recommendations

Introduction

At its meeting on 12 September 2007 the Committee agreed that members should complete the Committee self-evaluation form individually and return it to the Secretariat for analysis and collation.

The attached paper contains the results of the evaluation.

Decision

The Committee is requested to discuss the paper.

Background information

Please see enclosure 16 (paper HPC 16/07) for the Council on 29 March 2007. The enclosure is available on the HPC website at the following link:

http://www.hpcuk.org/assets/documents/10001A42council_meeting_20070329_enclosure16.pdf

Resource implications

None

Financial implications

None

Appendices

Appendix 1: Committee self evaluation results

Date of paper

9 November 2007

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2008-01-24	а	SEC	AGD	Health Committee Self Evaluation	Final	Public
				2008 Exec Summary	DD: None	RD: None

Committee Self-Evaluation: Conduct and Competence Committee

The charts below indicate the responses on forms received from Committee members. Forms were received from seven members.





Comments made on individual questions

Question	Number	comments
	commenting	
Q1 Committee administration and support:	Two	"No but due to work post not HPC"
Receive agenda and papers in good time	members commented	"poor rating due to new membership and incorrect address"
Q2 Committee administration and support:	One member	"new member" (to explain average rating)
Process of conducting meetings is very	commented	
clear		
Q3 Committee administration and support:	One member	"new member" (to explain average rating)
Understand written information from HPC	commented	
employees		
Q4 Committee membership: I know and	One member	"induction pack and day has been useful"
understand the responsibilities of being a	commented	
committee member		
Q5 Committee membership: I know and	One member	"new member: induction pack and day has been useful"
understand my role on the committee	commented	
Q6 Committee membership: I am clear	One member	"information is becoming more clear"
about the objectives of the Committee	commented	
Q7 Committee Membership: I feel able to	One member	"made very welcome"
contribute in meetings	commented	
Q8 Committee: The Committee receives	One member	"More analysis would be helpful"
the appropriate information to undertake its	commented	
role		
Q9 Committee: The Committee has clearly	One member	
written policies and procedures for how it	commented	"Very clear"
runs		
Q10 Committee: The Committee generally	No	
works well together	comments	
Q11 Committee: The Committee is	One member	"Could do more forward planning"
planning for its future	commented	
Q12 Committee: The Committee's size and	One member	"A single FtP committee would work better"
structure is appropriate	commented	
Q13 Committee: The Committee currently	Two	"multi disciplinary"
contains a sufficient range of expertise to	members	
carry out its duties effectively	commented	"perhaps more use of co-option?"

Q14 Committee: The Committee has a	No	
diverse range of members.	comments	
Q15 Committee: The Committee regularly	No	
reviews its work as a committee	comments	
Q16 Strategy and workplan: The	one member	"I believe so"
Committee receives and approves the	commented:	
workplan on an annual basis		
Q17 Strategy and workplan: The	No	
Committee is working with the Executive to	comments	
develop its strategy		
Q18 Strategy and workplan: The	No	
Committee makes policy related decisions	comments	
that then guide the way that the employees		
carry out operational duties		

Suggestions for improvements to the work of the Committee

Members were asked to suggest 5 areas that they thought the committee should tackle to improve the way the committee works over the next year.

three members provided feedback on this question.

The suggestions were as follows:

- A close analysis of the trends of allegations
- An analysis of the actions taken by the Panels as sanctions
- Perhaps it should be a little clearer as to where discussions have taken place i.e. how are conclusions in Executive papers arrived at?
- Perhaps have more time dedicated to policy and strategy so that is seen as a quite separate role and task to the administrative/progress report procedure of a normal meeting
- Consider bringing in outside experts speakers to examine particular policy areas risk assessment, different ways of planning for the future etc etc
- More joint FtP work through forum
- More emphasis on strategy
- Bit more thought as to future planning
- Some council decisions could be delegated to FtP committees