

Education and Training Committee Panel

Practitioner psychologist programmes which have been subject to audit and for which an approval visit planned for 2011/12 is recommended:

Education provider	City University
Programme name	Doctorate in Health Psychology (Dpsych)
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 26 August 2010

Panel: Diane Waller John Donaghy
 Jo-anne Carlyle Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision
That the Visitors' report should be accepted and that an approval visit should be scheduled for the academic year 2011/12 to assess the programme against the standards of education and training.
Reasons
An approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/12 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training.

Signed: **Panel Chair**

Education and Training Committee Panel

Practitioner psychologist programmes which have been subject to audit and for which an approval visit planned for 2011/12 is recommended:

Education provider	University of Edinburgh
Programme name	Doctorate in Clinical Psychology (DClinPsychol)
Mode of delivery	Flexible
Date of decision	Thursday 26 August 2010

Panel: Diane Waller John Donaghy
 Jo-anne Carlyle Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision
That the Visitors' report should be accepted and that an approval visit should be scheduled for the academic year 2011/12 to assess the programme against the standards of education and training.
Reasons
An approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/12 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training.

Signed: **Panel Chair**

Education and Training Committee Panel

Practitioner psychologist programmes which have been subject to audit and for which an approval visit planned for 2011/12 is recommended:

Education provider	University of Edinburgh
Programme name	Doctorate in Clinical Psychology (DClinPsychol)
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 26 August 2010

Panel: Diane Waller John Donaghy
 Jo-anne Carlyle Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision
That the Visitors' report should be accepted and that an approval visit should be scheduled for the academic year 2011/12 to assess the programme against the standards of education and training.
Reasons
An approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/12 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training.

Signed: **Panel Chair**

Education and Training Committee Panel

Practitioner psychologist programmes which have been subject to audit and for which an approval visit planned for 2011/12 is recommended:

Education provider	University of Lancaster
Programme name	Doctorate in Clinical Psychology (DClinPsy)
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 26 August 2010

Panel: Diane Waller John Donaghy
 Jo-anne Carlyle Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision
That the Visitors' report should be accepted and that an approval visit should be scheduled for the academic year 2011/12 to assess the programme against the standards of education and training.
Reasons
An approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/12 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training.

Signed: **Panel Chair**

Education and Training Committee Panel

Practitioner psychologist programmes which have been subject to audit and for which an approval visit planned for 2011/12 is recommended:

Education provider	University of Newcastle
Programme name	Doctorate in Clinical Psychology (DClinPsy)
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 26 August 2010

Panel: Diane Waller John Donaghy
 Jo-anne Carlyle Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision
That the Visitors' report should be accepted and that an approval visit should be scheduled for the academic year 2011/12 to assess the programme against the standards of education and training.
Reasons
An approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/12 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training.

Signed: **Panel Chair**

Education and Training Committee Panel

Practitioner psychologist programmes which have been subject to audit and for which an approval visit planned for 2011/12 is recommended:

Education provider	New School of Psychotherapy & Counselling & Middlesex University
Programme name	Existential Counselling Psychology and Psychotherapy (Dpsych)
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 26 August 2010

Panel: Diane Waller John Donaghy
 Jo-anne Carlyle Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision
That the Visitors' report should be accepted and that an approval visit should be scheduled for the academic year 2011/12 to assess the programme against the standards of education and training.
Reasons
An approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/12 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training.

Signed: **Panel Chair**