

**Education and Training Committee Panel**

**Major change – ongoing approval**

<b>Education provider</b>	Anglia Ruskin University
<b>Programme name</b>	BSc (Hons) Radiography (Diagnostic) incorporating DipHE Medical Imaging Practice
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Part Time
<b>Date of decision</b>	Thursday 16 September 2010

**Panel:** Helen Davis (Chair)                      Stuart Mackay  
                 Jeff Lucas    Jeff Seneviratne

**Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

**Signed:** ..... **Panel Chair**

**Education and Training Committee Panel**

**Major change – ongoing approval**

<b>Education provider</b>	Anglia Ruskin University
<b>Programme name</b>	BSc (Hons) Radiography (Therapeutic) incorporating FDS Sc Radiotherapy and Oncology Practice
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Part Time
<b>Date of decision</b>	Thursday 16 September 2010

**Panel:** Helen Davis (Chair)                      Stuart Mackay  
                 Jeff Lucas    Jeff Seneviratne

**Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

**Signed:** ..... **Panel Chair**

**Education and Training Committee Panel**

**Major change – ongoing approval**

<b>Education provider</b>	Liverpool John Moores University
<b>Programme name</b>	Non-Medical Prescribing
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Part Time
<b>Date of decision</b>	Thursday 16 September 2010

**Panel:** Helen Davis (Chair)                      Stuart Mackay  
                 Jeff Lucas    Jeff Seneviratne

**Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

**Signed:** ..... **Panel Chair**

**Education and Training Committee Panel**

**Major change – ongoing approval**

<b>Education provider</b>	University of the West of England, Bristol
<b>Programme name</b>	BSc (Hons) Applied Biomedical Science (Clinical)
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Full Time
<b>Date of decision</b>	Thursday 16 September 2010

**Panel:** Helen Davis (Chair)                      Stuart Mackay  
                 Jeff Lucas    Jeff Seneviratne

**Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

**Signed:** ..... **Panel Chair**