NPC health professions council

New profession monitoring report

Contents

•••••••	
Section One: Programme Details	. 1
Section Two: Submission Details	. 1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	. 2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)	. 2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	University of Glasgow	
Programme name	Doctorate in Clinical Psychology	
	(DClinPsy)	
Mode of delivery	Full time	
HPC visitor(s)	Harry Brick (Clinical Psychologist)	
	Judith Bamford (Educational	
	Psychologist)	
	George Delafield (Forensic	
	Psychologist)	
Education executive	Lewis Roberts	
Date of assessment day	25 May 2010	

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

Since External Examiner Reports were not provided by two examiners, the Exam Board minutes for last year and two years ago have also been provided. These minutes provide some opinions of the External Examiners of the Doctorate in Clinical Psychology programme.

- Glasgow University DClinPsy Exam Board Minutes 17-09-09
- Glasgow University DClinPsy Exam Board Minutes 18-09-08

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

An approval visit is required before and to replace the visit planned in the academic year 2011/2012 to assess the programme against the standards of education and training.

The reasons for this are as follows:

Reason

It is clear that the external examiners have raised serious issues through their reports. The visitors feel that the issues raised are serious enough for the visit to be brought forward.

The visitors noted a number of concerns around research skills in the programme. In particular the visitors noted that within the Annual Monitoring Report (AMR) 2008–2009 the external examiner raised concerns about the trainee's understanding of statistical skills. Trainee's also requested statistic refresher teaching sessions in year 2. The visitors expressed concerns that a high number of trainee's have failed the Service Based Evaluation project. The visitors were also concerned that students were not accessing university supervisors to address data management and conceptualisation issues.

The visitors expressed concerns about the support mechanisms in place for trainees; in particular supervisor support had been unavailable in Lanarkshire for over 3 years. The visitors were concerned that this means inadequate support for trainees in that region. The visitors noted that in the documentation trainees had to write formally to the programme director to express concerns around the quality of clinical supervisors and they felt unable to feedback directly to specific supervisors in a safe way.

Issues being raised are specifically trainee concerns around support and specific competencies, all of which would need to be reviewed through discussion with trainees and stakeholders.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2010-05-26	а	EDU	PPR	NP report Glasgow Doc PPCL FT	Draft	Public
					DD: None	RD: None

Education and Training Committee Panel

Practitioner Psychologist programmes which have been subject to audit and for which an approval visit is recommended before and to replace the visit planned in 2011/2012.

Education provider	Glasgow, University of		
Programme name	me Doctorate in Clinical Psychology (DClinPsy)		
Mode of delivery	Full Time		
Date of decision	Wednesday 7 July 2010		

Panel: John Donaghy(Chair) Deep Sagar

Mary Clark-Glass Annie Turner

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision The Panel deferred the decision on whether to accept the visitors report and requested a response from the visitors to observations made on the report by the

Reasons

education provider.

The Panel noted that the observations from the education provider addressed a numbers of the issues in the report, and that it would be useful to have the views of visitors who had assessed the programme on these observations.

Signed: Panel Chair

DateVer.Dept/CmteDoc Type2008-03-12aETCRPT

Title Record of decision for Education Panel visitors report approval

Status Draft DD: None

Int. Aud. Public RD: None Dear Tracey,

This seems appropriate, thanks

Judi

-----Original Message------

From: annualmonitoring@hpc-uk.org *Date:* 01/09/2010 11:24:26 *To:* Judi & Geoff Bamford; georgedelafield@aol.com; HARRY.BRICK@dhft.nhs.uk *Cc:* annualmonitoring@hpc-uk.org *Subject:* University of Glasgow - Doctorate in Clinical Psychology (DClinPsy), full time

Hello Judi, Harry and George

Thank you for all of your emails regarding the above new profession monitoring submission.

I understand that following your review of the observations submitted by the education provider, you are satisfied that your concerns highlighted in the visitors' report dated 25 May 2010, are no longer appropriate. Therefore you are recommending that the approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs).

Please can you confirm whether I have accurately reflected your emails and agree any additional wording which you would like to be taken to the Education and Training Panel by Monday 6 September 2010.

Should you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact me.

With kind regards Tracey

Annual Monitoring Education Department Health Professions Council 184 Kennington Park Road London SE11 4BU

website: <u>www.hpc-uk.org</u> tel: +44 (0)207 840 9812 fax: +44 (0)207 820 9684 email: <u>annualmonitoring@hpc-uk.org</u>

To sign up to the HPC newsletter, please email newsletter@hpc-uk.org

Please consider the environment before printing this email

HPC E-mail Disclaimer:

The material transmitted in this email is intended only for the person or legal entity to whom it is addressed and may contain confidential and privileged information. It may not be used or disclosed except for the purpose for which it has been sent. If you are not the addressee or have received this email in error please notify the sender and do not read, print, re-transmit, store or act in reliance on the material in this email.

This e-mail has been scanned for all viruses by Star Internet. The service is powered by MessageLabs.



service is powered by MessageLabs.



RE: University of Glasgow - Doctorate in Clinical Psychology (DClinPsy), full

HARRY BRICK (DHFT) to: annualmonitoring

02/09/2010 13:23

 From:
 "HARRY BRICK (DHFT)" <HARRY.BRICK@dhft.nhs.uk>

 To:
 <annualmonitoring@hpc-uk.org>

 History:
 This message has been forwarded.

Your attention is drawn to the warning notice at the end of this message. Please note that any email address with the suffix "@dorsethc-tr.swest.nhs.uk" will soon cease to exist. You will instead need to use the suffix "@dhft.nhs.uk". Please update your personal Contact lists accordingly.

Yes, you are accurate.

time

Harry

-----Original Message-----From: Tracey.Samuel-Smith@hpc-uk.org [mailto:Tracey.Samuel-Smith@hpc-uk.org] On Behalf Of annualmonitoring@hpc-uk.org Sent: 01 September 2010 11:24 To: Judi & Geoff Bamford; georgedelafield@aol.com; HARRY BRICK (DHFT) Cc: annualmonitoring@hpc-uk.org Subject: University of Glasgow - Doctorate in Clinical Psychology (DClinPsy), full time

Hello Judi, Harry and George

Thank you for all of your emails regarding the above new profession monitoring submission.

I understand that following your review of the observations submitted by the education provider, you are satisfied that your concerns highlighted in the visitors' report dated 25 May 2010, are no longer appropriate. Therefore you are recommending that the approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs).

Please can you confirm whether I have accurately reflected your emails and agree any additional wording which you would like to be taken to the Education and Training Panel by Monday 6 September 2010.

Should you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact me.

With kind regards Tracey

Annual Monitoring Education Department Health Professions Council 184 Kennington Park Road London SE11 4BU website: www.hpc-uk.org tel: +44 (0)207 840 9812 fax: +44 (0)207 820 9684 email: annualmonitoring@hpc-uk.org To sign up to the HPC newsletter, please email newsletter@hpc-uk.org

Please consider the environment before printing this email

HPC E-mail Disclaimer: The material transmitted in this email is intended only for the person or legal entity to whom it is addressed and may contain confidential and privileged information. It may not be used or disclosed except for the purpose for which it has been sent. If you are not the addressee or have received this email in error please notify the sender and do not read, print, re-transmit, store or act in reliance on the material in this email.

This e-mail has been scanned for all viruses by Star Internet. The service is powered by MessageLabs.

DISCLAIMER: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. Any views or opinions expressed are those of the author and do not represent the views of Dorset HealthCare NHS Foundation Trust unless otherwise explicitly stated. The information contained in this e-mail may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Unless the Information is legally exempt from disclosure, the confidentiality of this e-mail and your reply cannot be guaranteed. If you are not an intended recipient, and have received this message in error, please notify postmaster@dhft.nhs.uk immediately. Please note that the above Trust does not enter into any form of contract by means of Internet e-mail. None of the staff of the Trust are allowed to enter into contracts on behalf of the Trust in this way. All contracts to which the Trust is party are to be documented by other means.

This e-mail has been scanned for all viruses by Star. The service is powered by MessageLabs.



Re: University of Glasgow - Doctorate in Clinical Psychology (DClinPsy), full... Georgedelafield to: annualmonitoring, jmgbbamford, HARRY.BRICK 01/09/2010 12:26

 From:
 Georgedelafield@aol.com

 To:
 annualmonitoring@hpc-uk.org, jmgbbamford@tiscali.co.uk, HARRY.BRICK@dhft.nhs.uk

In a message dated 01/09/2010 11:24:27 GMT Daylight Time, annualmonitoring@hpc-uk.org writes: I understand that following your review of the observations submitted by the education provider, you are satisfied that your concerns highlighted in the visitors' report dated 25 May 2010, are no longer appropriate. Therefore you are recommending that the approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs).

Dear Tracey

Thank you for this message. Your have summaried my understanding of our joint decision most succinctly.

Regards

George Delafield

This e-mail has been scanned for all viruses by Star. The service is powered by MessageLabs.