ICP

INVESTIGATING COMMITTEE CHAIRMAN'S RECORD OF DECISION

In completing this form, panel chairs are reminded that the panel is required to determine whether or not there is a prima facia case to answer in relation to the allegation that the registrant's fitness to practise is impaired. In coming to that decision, the test to be applied is whether it is probable that the allegation, if not rebutted, will be found to be well founded.

That test requires the Panel to determine:

a) if the information put before them amounts to an allegation which is within Article 22 of the Health Professions Order 2001; and

b) whether it is probable that the allegation would be found to be well founded.

It is not the panel's task to weigh and determine the evidence, and therefore evidence which may rebut the allegation is a matter for any Panel which may hear the case. However, if the registrant has provided any definitive information to rebut a material element of that allegation or which undermines the Council's case can be taken into account when considering whether there is a case to answer.

Panels are reminded that they must:

- provide clear and detailed reasons for their decisions; and
- determine which committee will hear the case.

Health allegations must be heard by the health committee, fraudulent or incorrect entry allegations must be heard by the Investigating Committee and all other allegations must be heard by the Conduct and Competence Committee.

Name of Registrant	
Registration Number	
Type of Allegation	
Type of Complainant	

а

RPT

PLEASE GIVE REASONS:

PLEASE GIVE REASONS:

1.1

- 1.2 Is there a case to answer that the registrant's fitness to practise is impaired?
- 2.1 Does the panel consider that it needs further information?
- 2.2 IF YES, what further information does it need and why?
- 3.1 If there is a case to answer, which Committee should hear the case (delete as appropriate)?

INVESTIGATING COMMITTEE CONDUCT AND COMPETENCE COMMITTEE HEALTH COMMITTEE

3.2 What is the allegation that the registrant will answer at the next stage?

- a) does the information put before the panel amount to an
 - IF YES, is it probable that the allegation would, if not b) rebutted, be well founded
 - C) has the registrant provided any evidence to rebut any point to the Council's case that it would affect the decision in (a) and (b)?

allegation within Article 22?

On the basis of the allegations before the Panel;

YES NO

YES NO

YES NO

NO

YES NO

Date Ver. 2005-05-17 а

Dept/Cmte F2P

Doc Type

RPT

YES

Status DD: None

Draft

Int. Aud. Internal RD: None Signed.....(By Chairman of the Panel) Date.....

Date 2005-05-17

а

Dept/Cmte F2P Ver.

Doc Type RPT

Title record of Decision for Investigating Panel

Status Draft DD: None

Int. Aud. Internal RD: None

ERROR: undefinedfilename OFFENDING COMMAND: c

STACK: