Agenda Item 10

Enclosure 8

Paper RC 8/03

REGISTRATION COMMITTEE

Minutes of the Education and Training Committee meetings held on 12 November 2002 and 27 November 2002

From: the Executive

FOR INFORMATION

Park House

184 Kennington Park Road

London SE11 4BU

Telephone: +44 (0)20 7840 9716 Fax: +44 (0)20 7820 9684 e-mail: peter.burley@hpc-uk.org

MINUTES of the fifth meeting of the Education and Training Committee held on Tuesday 12 November 2002 at Park House, 184 Kennington Park Road, London SE11 4BU

Present:

Prof. D. Waller (Chairing)

Prof. N. Brook

Mrs. S. Chaudhry

Miss H. Davis

Ms C. Farrell

Mr. P. Frowen

Prof. J. Harper

Prof. R. Klem

Mr. C. Lea

Prof. C. Lloyd

Prof. J. Lucas (not items 9 and 22)

Ms G. Pearson

Mr. G. Sutehall

Dr. A. van der Gaag (not item 22)

Also in Attendance

Dr. P. Burley - Secretary, ETC

Mr. T. Berrie

Mr. J. Bracken

Ms M. Collins

Ms U. Falk

Ms E. Glean

Ms C. Gooch

Ms A. Lawson-Porter

Ms G. Malcolm

Mr. C. Middleton

Ms L. Pilgrim

Mr. G. Ross-Sampson

Ms P. Sabine

Mr. M. Seale

ITEM 1 02/108 MEMBERSHIP AND APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

- 1.1 The Chairman reported that Dr R. Kapur had now been appointed...
- 1.2 Apologies were received from :- Mr. D. Ashcroft, Dr. G. Beastall, Mr. M. Collins, Mr. I. Massey, Mr. G. Milch, Ms G. Pearson, Ms S. Stirling, and Ms E. Thornton.

ITEM 2 02/109 APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

On the recommendation of the Chairman,

It was

RESOLVED (1)

that the agenda be approved subject to taking item 22 first, and in private session and then item 9, and both jointly with the Registration Committee.

ITEM 3 02/110 MINUTES

It was agreed that the minutes of the fourth meeting of the Health Professions Council's Education and Training Committee held on 16 October 2002 be confirmed as a true record and signed by the Chairman.

ITEM 4 02/111 MATTERS ARISING

- 4.1 The Committee received the notes of the secretariat group meeting on 21 October 2002. These acted as a major compendium of information about how the project plan should be taken forward. The Committee endorsed the approaches recommended with special reference to collaboration with professional bodies.
- 4.2 It was clarified that the Consultants to be appointed would continue to be Newchurch and would be working to transfer the data and approaches agreed by the Committee into operating manuals, brochures, etc. The Chief Executive would be the project sponsor, but relevant Committees would approve relevant documentation.

ITEM 5 02/112 CHAIRMAN'S AND SECRETARY'S REPORTS

5.1 The Chairman reported that the four other working groups (for CPD, placements, publications, and pre-registration education and training) had been briefed on the issues facing them and were starting the process of an e-mail dialogue.

ITEM 7-21 02/113 "EDUCATION AND TRAINING PROCESSES"

- 7-21.1 Mr G. Ross-Sampson introduced this paper, which supported items 7 through to 21.
- 7-21.2 He drew specific attention to the catalogue of publications needed and their timetable.
- 7-21.3 Much of the current work on brochures and publications could be around their ethos and framework while the detail of uni-professional content could be being worked up in parallel.
- 7-21.4 The various standards, criteria, and processes all drew from pre-existing common data sets.
- 7-21.5 The brochures to be published by 1 April 2003 would contain a position statement or work in progress if the final text for new procedures was not yet ready. The only exception was the Standards of Proficiency (see item 9 below).
- 7-21.6 The Committee received the paper and agreed the strategy within it.

ITEM 7 02/114 ADMISSION REQUIREMENTS TO APPROVED COURSES

- 7.1 The Committee received the paper and agreed the recommendations subject to redrafting the academic entry criteria and reviewing the position of local education authorities.
- 7.2 For this and other items, members were asked to make editorial comments direct to the Executive.

ITEM 8 02/115 PUBLISHING THE ADMISSIONS REQUIREMENTS

The Committee received the report and agreed the recommendations, noting that Health Professions Wales already published entry requirements for courses in Wales.

ITEM 9 02/116 STANDARDS OF PROFICIENCY

- 9.1 The Committee received the report and the background papers.
- 9.2 The Chairman of the Committee reported on the workshop on 31 October 2002 facilitated by the Quality Assurance Agency where the 12 groups tasked with preparing the SoPs had been assembled and briefed together before continuing in profession specific groups. The groups had been asked to report back by 6 November on how to position the project to meet the next target for a seminar again on 6 February 2003.
- 9.3 The Chairman and President put on record that SoPs were not about lowering standards. They were different standards from Subject Benchmarks, albeit derived from them, and had a different purpose around minimum standards for safe and effective practice where these were not embedded in an approved UK course and qualification. The SoPs could not recreate a degree curriculum by another route.

- 9.4 Competence and proficiency were different criteria in the HPO. The terminology in SoPs' documentation needed to be reviewed to ensure the correct language was used.
- 9.5 It was agreed that the relationship between the different standards and criteria did need to be explored and a statement made about it. It was also confirmed in this context that Subject Benchmarks operated at threshold level.
- 9.6 SoPs were the minimum, not the ideal, standards for safe and effective practice.
- 9.7 The various standards and criteria had to be aligned and interact.
- 9.8 Members on the Steering Group were asked to make contact with the project secretariat at QAA for setting up meetings.
- 9.9 It had been agreed that for the Project Plan the SoPs must be ready by 1 April 2003 because they underpinned so much of HPC's work elsewhere. Of all the activities falling to the Committee, preparation of SoPs was the only one where completion to the rigid deadline of 1 April 2003 was essential. Without them HPC would have to ask Ministers for a deferral of HPC's Rules. The initial work should be completed by the end of February and needed to be expedited and whatever assistance was needed should be provided to ensure progress. The progress should be monitored closely to ensure all groups were moving forward together. It could be that the final as against the usable version would not be available until into April 2002.
- 9.10 The SoPs had to be owned by the professions concerned, not imposed upon them.
- 9.11 It was agreed that the eight existing Subject Benchmarks should be recirculated to all members of the Committee.
- 9.12 SoPs had to be drafted to take account of the need to demonstrate Continued Professional Competence at re-registration (see item 25 below).
- 9.13 It was agreed to proceed on the basis of this discussion.

ITEM 10 02/117 STANDARDS OF EDUCATION AND TRAINING TO ACHIEVE "STANDARDS OF PROFICIENCY"

- 10.1 The Committee received the report and agreed the recommendations.
- 10.2 This was one of the largest tasks facing the Committee and would lead to manuals, guidance, and leaflets. It would be remitted to the consultants for their assistance.
- 10.3 It was agreed that harmonised processes must be fit for purpose in each context.
- 10.4 It was noted that all the information needed for these standards already existed, but whether all processes could be harmonised by 1 April 2003 was the issue. Additional resources should be secured over and above Newchurch's assistance.

ITEM 11 02/118 OUTCOMES TO BE ACHIEVED BY APPROVED EDUCATION AND TRAINING PROVISION

The Committee received the report and agreed the recommendations.

ITEM 12 02/119 "COURSE COMPARISON TO CRITERIA" GUIDANCE NOTES / POLICY

The Committee received the report and agreed the recommendations. The Committee noted that the Secretariat Staff meeting on 21 October had endorsed using the AHP work on values as the major point of departure here. See also item 10 above.

ITEM 13 02/120 <u>INFORMATION REQUIRED FROM VISITORS' REPORTS</u> AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF VISITORS' REPORTS

The Committee received the report and agreed the recommendations. This item interacted with 10 and 12 above.

ITEM 14 02/121 <u>LIST OF APPROVED COURSE / FORMALLY APPROVED</u> COURSES

The Committee received the report and work in progress and agreed the recommendation.

ITEM 15 02/122 GUIDANCE NOTES ON MINOR AND MAJOR COURSE CHANGES

The Committee received the report and agreed the recommendations.

ITEM 16 02/123 PERIOD OF TIME TO BE GIVEN TO INSTITUTIONS TO MAKE "OBSERVATIONS"

The Committee received the report and agreed the recommendation.

ITEM 17 02/124 PERIOD OF TIME TO BE GIVEN TO AN INSTITUTION TO CARRY OUT "CONDITIONS AND REQUIREMENTS"

The Committee received the report and agreed the recommendation, noting the need for a common vocabulary.

ITEM 18 02/125 POLICY STATEMENT ON SHUTTING DOWN COURSES AND TRANSFER OF STUDENTS

The Committee received the report and agreed the recommendation, noting that HPC could only withdraw its approval from a course not close it on its own authority.

ITEM 19 02/126 VISITORS' QUALIFICATIONS POLICY / GUIDANCE NOTES

The Committee received the report and agreed the recommendation, noting that the proposals for the Council encompassed acknowledging other relevant training and experience for visitors.

ITEM 20 02/127 WHAT INFORMATION / STATISTICS DOES EDUCATION AND TRAINING COMMITTEE WANT TO SEE TO MAKE PRO-ACTIVE JUDGEMENTS?

The Committee remitted this for further investigation. In the meantime the Committee received the report and agreed the recommendation.

ITEM 21 02/128 JOB DESCRIPTION, PERSON SPECIFICATION, INTERVIEW EVALUATION CRITERIA etc

The Committee noted the recommendations to be put to the Council on 13 November 2002 and asked that the Council clarify that the commitment to days' duties mentioned were per annum in each case.

ITEM 22 02/129 UP-DATE FROM NEWCHURCH ON THE RESULTS OF THE CONSULTATION EXERCISE

Taken in the private part of the meeting.

ITEM 23 02/130 SUSPENSION OF STANDING ORDER

It was

RESOLVED (2)

that the relevant SO be suspended to allow the meeting to continue beyond three hours.

ITEM 24 02/131 GLOSSARY OF TERMS

The Secretary reported on the proposal for a glossary of the technical terms to be used consistently in the publications being taken forward now by Mrs S. Stirling.

ITEM 25 02/132 ANY OTHER BUSINESS: DEFINITIONS AND INTERPRETATIONS OF CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT AS AGAINST CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL COMPETENCE

25.1 Mr Bracken asked the Committee to note the two different definitions:

Continuing Professional Development (CPD)

This was a scheme to be devised by Council and specified in the body of the Order, but it could not of itself be a measure or test of competence. It could be tailored to scopes of practice.

Continuing Professional Competence (CPC)

This was not specified as such explicitly in the Order, but was implicit. It had to be demonstrated for continued or re-registration to meet the Standards of Proficiency. Simple compliance with CPD could not be taken to demonstrate CPC. CPC did have to show proficiency in basic clinical competence even if the registrant was working in teaching, research, or management. The Council could be "satisfied" as to compliance with CPC by indirect means and not necessarily by a specific (biennial) test of competence for every registrant.

25.2 It was agreed to ask the Allied Health Professions competence project to comment on these definitions and the issues they raised. The Committee would then be able to take a view on how aligned was that project to issues around CPC and SoPs for HPC.

ITEM 26 02/133 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

The next meeting would be at 10.30 am on 27 November 2002. The AHPF Competence Project would report on its work.

ITEM 27 02/134 MEETING IN PRIVATE

It was

RESOLVED (3)

that the remainder of the meeting be held in private because publicity would be prejudicial to the public interest, by reason of the confidential nature of the business to be transacted.

CHAIRMAN

Unconfirmed

Park House 184 Kennington Park Road London SE11 4BU

Telephone: +44 (0)20 7840 9716 Fax: +44 (0)20 7820 9684 e-mail: peter.burley@hpc-uk.org

MINUTES of the sixth meeting of the Education and Training Committee held on Wednesday 27 November 2002 at Park House, 184 Kennington Park Road, London SE11 4BU

Present:

Prof. D. Waller (Chairing)

Mrs. S. Chaudhry

Miss H. Davis

Ms C. Farrell

Prof. R. Klem

Mr. C. Lea

Prof. J. Lucas

Mr. I. Massey

Ms G. Pearson

Dr. A. van der Gaag

Also in Attendance

Dr. P. Burley - Secretary, ETC

Mr. D. Ashcroft

Mr. T. Berrie

Ms U. Falk

Ms E. Glean

Ms C. Gooch

Dr. S. Gosling

Ms J. Hall

Ms A. Hart

Ms A. Lawson-Porter

Mr. D. Lorimer

Ms N. O'Sullivan

Ms L. Pilgrim

Ms R. Reyes

Mr. M. Seale

Mrs. S. Stirling

ITEM 1 02/135 MEMBERSHIP AND APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

1.1 Apologies were received from :- Dr. G. Beastall, Prof. N. Brook, Mr. M. Collins, Mr. P. Frowen, Prof. J. Harper, Prof. A. Hazell, Dr. R. Kapur, Mr. G. Sutehall and Miss E. Thornton.

ITEM 2 02/136 APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

On the recommendation of the Chairman,

It was

RESOLVED (1)

that the agenda be approved subject to taking item 6 as the first piece of substantive business.

ITEM 3 02/137 MINUTES

It was agreed that the minutes of the fifth meeting of the Health Professions Council's Education and Training Committee held on 12 November 2002 be confirmed as a true record and signed by the Chairman.

ITEM 4 02/138 MATTERS ARISING

The Committee received the Secretary's paper on provision delivered outside the UK leading to the award of a UK qualification.

ITEM 5 02/139 CHAIRMAN'S AND SECRETARY'S REPORTS

- 5.1 The Chairman reported that an important meeting had been held with DoH, NMC, and OAA on 19 November 2002. Topics had included:
 - alignment between the UK Health Departments (and others),
 - the QA contract with DoH (in which both ETC and AHPF were involved),
 - more coherence in clinical placement procedures,
 - the prototype reviews and related issues (see item 8 below),
 - the "partnership event" (see item 9 below),
 - Subject Benchmarking, and
 - course approvals processes.
- 5.2 The Committee received the Secretary's report.
- 5.3 Ms Gooch (from Newchurch) reported that they had now started to engage in the process of producing publications identified in the project plan in detail. Specific and explicit requests for Committee members' in-put would follow, and members should await these.

- 5.4 It was noted that each area of setting standards, criteria, requirements, and guidance needed to lead to publication of documents. There would be a range of publications for different audiences. An example of a brochure for the general public describing the Committee was tabled for information on format and scope of that type of document. Guidances and operating manuals would be much longer more complex documents.
- 5.5 Ms Stirling reported that she and the Manager of Education would be starting work shortly on a glossary of definitions of terms to be used by the Committee. This glossary would need to be aligned with the terms in the AHP Competence project..
- 5.6 The Secretary confirmed that as there was a reference to students' position at the closure of courses in the HPO, the Committee had to address the issue.
- 5.7 The Committee endorsed the progress to date and the direction of the work.

ITEM 6 02/140 PRESENTATION BY Dr. S. GOSLING ON THE ALLIED HEALTH PROFESSIONS (AHP) PROJECT ON DEMONSTRATING COMPETENCE THROUGH CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

- 6.1 Dr. S. Gosling gave a presentation on this project. The text of the slides shown is appended to these minutes.
- 6.2 She stressed that the project involved all four UK countries and was hoping to include Clinical Science to mean it would then embrace all 12 Parts of the Register.
- 6.3 It was designed to provide the best advice the AHPs could generate for HPC but it would not concern itself either with the interpretation of the HPO nor the detail of implementation these would both be matters for HPC.
- 6.4 Key themes included defining competence as dynamic and in the most inclusive way.
- The Committee endorsed the project's definition and approach to "competence". CPD was an activity and (continued) competence a desired outcome from it. Specialist competence had to be underpinned by broader proficiency.
- Mr Seale directed the Committee to separate out the issues of short-term transitional arrangements (i.e. grandparenting) and the long-term setting of threshold standards for the registrable qualifications. He also directed the Committee's attention to the HPO not linking CPD to the demonstration of continued competence.
- 6.7 The Committee thanked Dr. Gosling for her presentation.

ITEM 7 02/141 HPC BUSINESS PROCEDURES, PUBLICATIONS, AND FEEDBACK FROM THE CONSULTATION EXERCISE

The matters for discussion here had been dealt with in item 5 above.

ITEM 8 02/142 <u>QUALITY ASSURANCE AGENCY'S (QAA) COMPOSITE</u> REPORT ON THE OUTCOME OF THE PROTOTYPE REVIEWS

- 8.1 The Committee received the papers from QAA.
- 8.2 The Secretary forwarded a report from the Prototype Reviews Steering Group on 21 November 2002 that the key issue raised was the slight confusion about the purpose of the report. After some debate it was agreed that the report should be titled "example report "and would still be subject to significant amendments because it showed what a visit report could look like and its purpose was to stimulate debate about whether a visit report met the needs of the different stakeholders. The report was based on the experience of the prototypes but was not a summary of the findings.

Another issue that was raised at the Steering Group, by a number of the representatives from the Allied Health Professions, was the issue of the number of reviewers from each profession on the review team and the need for two representatives.

The report had been well received and some very helpful comments were made in terms of factual accuracies and identifying points that required further clarification. It was agreed that members would e-mail any further changes re factual accuracies to QAA.

- 8.3 Ms J. Hall reported that the content of judgements in the prototype reviews was confidential still and the committee was being asked to receive indicative information about scopes and formats for review work.
- On the issue of the number of professionals on each visit, Mr Ashcroft elaborated that a single professional might be placed in an unacceptably exposed position. This was still an issue for discussion.
- 8.5 There needed to be a common definition of "streamlined" with which all stakeholders were comfortable. ETC would need to make a judgement about this for its own purposes on the basis of papers which should be ready for the meeting on 12 February 2003.
- Ms Hall commented that the prototype reviews had been the first occasion when practice as well as teaching was reviewed. This meant that the review handbook was being revised to include practice. This revision would be ready for the next meeting, and would be a resource for Newchurch. DH agreed to share emerging documentation with Newchurch.
- 8.7 Ms Hall also clarified that the streamlining in the process would be at the level of general Quality Assurance procedures and requirements rather than that at the level of major review events, which might always have to remain complex.
- 8.8 Ms Hall explained that the non-NHS funded sector would be included in these new procedures in the longer term.

ITEM 9 02/143 <u>DoH LEARNING AND PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT</u> <u>DIVISION AND QAA JOINT PAPERS ON "QUALITY</u> <u>ASSURANCE – EDUCATION " AND "UPDATE ON</u> <u>PROTOTYPE REVIEWS " AND DoH PAPER ON</u> "PARTNERSHIP WORKING ARRANGEMENTS "

- 9.1 The Committee received the DoH papers and the oral report from Dr P.Burley on the Partnership QA event in Leeds on 6 November 2002. It had been a very positive, well informed, and constructive event with two more to follow on 3 and 6 December. (HPC had supported the exercise financially and this was appreciated).
- 9.2 On partnership working, the most important group would be the "Key Stakeholder Forum", of which HPC would be a member. Users of services would be included in the NHS / DH Alliance (on p.5). Existing projects (eg. the AHP values project) could be made "expert groups".
- 9.3 The Committee endorsed and forwarded a request from the President that the AHP Professional Bodies be included in the groups in their own right and especially on the Key Stakeholder Forum.
- 9.4 ETC would be asked to contribute representatives to a number of the groups.
- 9.5 It was agreed to forward any comments from members to DH via the Secretary.
- 9.6 A report of the outcome of these meetings would come to the February meeting.
- 9.7 The Committee endorsed continuing to work with the DH QA Team and NMC on this basis.

ITEM 10 02/144 CONSULTATION FROM THE COUNCIL OF DEANS ON WIDENING ITS SCOPE TO INCLUDE AHP DEANS

- 10.1 The Committee received the consultation paper.
- 10.2 Prof. Lucas clarified the issues for consultation and strongly commended the proposal as helpful to HPC and the professions generally. The Council's title would have to be changed if the scope widened.
- 10.3 Concern was expressed that AHPs could be overwhelmed by nurses and that too many assumptions from nursing could be uncritically carried into the AHPs. The new enlarged body might need a radical restructuring to address these points. These points and any mechanisms to mitigate them could be raised in a meeting to be held with the Council. There would also be a meeting between the Council and AHPF.
- 10.4 Any other views from members could be conveyed via the Secretary.

ITEM 11 02/145 NOTES OF THE MEETINGS OF PRE-REGISTRATION, EDUCATION AND TRAINING WORKING GROUPS, JOINT VALIDATION COMMITTEES AND JOINT QUALITY ASSURANCE COMMITTEES HELD SINCE THE LAST MEETING

11.1 General Issues

- 11.1.1 The Committee confirmed that it needed consistency and clarity in the way in which "conditions" were reported to it. The advisory bodies needed to report conditions in a way in which they were both reasonable and legally enforceable if subject to quasi-judicial processes.
- 11.1.2 The Committee asked the advisory bodies to note again that courses should not be submitted for approval if they had outstanding conditions attached to them still unaccounted for. Ideally no course with outstanding conditions should be presented to the committee.
- 11.1.3 The subordinate bodies, working now with Newchurch, should devise consistent procedures for monitoring compliance with conditions.

11.2 Approvals

Recommendations approved by ETC at its meeting on 27th November 2002 are as follows:

11.2.1 CHIROPODISTS

On the advice of the JQAC following a meeting and after scrutiny of the documentation:-

Continued Approval of Institutions (and associated clinical placements), courses, Examinations and Qualifications under Section 5 of the PSM Act

UNIVERSITY OF BRIGHTON:-

BSc(Hons) Podiatry

11.2.2 DIETITIANS

On the advice of the Pre-Registration Education and Training Working Group, and following scrutiny of the documentation:-

Approval of New Courses under Section 4(1)(a) and (b) and Institutions under Section 4(1)(c) of the PSM Act 1960

<u>UNIVERSITY OF LIVERPOOL/CHESTER COLLEGE OF HIGHER EDUCATION:-</u>

- a. under the terms of Section 4(1)(a)(b) and (c) of the Professions Supplementary to Medicine Act 1960, the course of training to be offered by, the examinations set by and the qualification awarded by the University of Liverpool/Chester College of Higher Education, and the institution itself, be approved as meeting the standards and requirements for State Registration, and
- b. under the terms of Section 4(2) of the Professions Supplementary to Medicine Act 1960, the Working Group recommends that the Health Professions Council send its recommendation to the Privy Council that it be requested to determine approval of the application by the University of Liverpool/Chester College of Higher Education to offer the registrable course and qualification as set out below:-

In Dietetics

Type of Course Full-time in Higher Education

Institution of Training/Education Chester College of Higher

Education

Qualification MSc/Postgraduate Diploma in

Nutrition and Dietetics

Awarded by University of Liverpool

Length of course Two years

Date of event 10th July 2002

With effect from September 2002

Participants in approval process Health Professions Council

Chester College of Higher Education

University of Liverpool

(The British Dietetic Association does not participate in the approval procedure of pre-registration courses)

Approval of New Courses under Section 4(1)(a) and (b) and Institutions under Section 4(1)(c) of the PSM Act 1960

KINGS COLLEGE LONDON:-

- a. under the terms of Section 4(1)(a)(b) and (c) of the Professions Supplementary to Medicine Act 1960, the course of training to be offered by, the examinations set by and the qualification awarded by Kings College London, and the institution itself, be approved as meeting the standards and requirements for State Registration, and
- b. under the terms of Section 4(2) of the Professions Supplementary to MedicineAct 1960, the Working Group recommends that the Health Professions Council send its recommendation to the Privy Council that it be requested to determine approval of the application by Kings College London the registrable course and qualification as set out below:-

In

Dietetics

Type of Course

Full-time in Higher Education

Institution of Training/Education

King's College London

Qualification Awarded by BSc(Hons) Dietetics King's College London

Length of course

Four years

Date of event

May 2002

With effect from

September 2002

Participants in approval process

Health Professions Council

King's College London

(The British Dietetic Association does not participate in the approval procedure of pre-registration courses)

11.2.3 OCCUPATIONAL THERAPISTS

On the advice of the JVC and after scrutiny of the documentation:-

Approval of New Courses under Section 4(1)(a) and (b) and Institutions under Section 4(1)(c) of the PSM Act 1960

UNIVERSITY OF TEESSIDE

- a. under the terms of Section 4(1)(a)(b) and (c) of the Professions Supplementary to Medicine Act 1960, the course of training to be offered by, the examinations set by and the qualification awarded by the University of Teesside, and the institution itself, be approved as meeting the standards and requirements for State Registration, and
- b. under the terms of Section 4(2) of the Professions Supplementary to Medicine Act 1960, the Working Group recommends that the Health Professions Council send its recommendation to the Privy Council that it be requested to determine approval of the application by the University of Teesside to offer the registrable course and qualification as set out below:-

In

Occupational Therapy

Type of Course

Full-time (Graduate Entry)

Institution of Training/Education

University of Teesside

Qualification

PgDip in Occupational Therapy

(PgD OT)

Awarded by

University of Teesside

Length of course

Two years Minimum (Four years Maximum)

Date of event

19-20th March 2002

With effect from

February 2003

Participants in approval process

Health Professions Council University of Teesside College of Occupational Therapists

11.2.4 PHYSIOTHERAPISTS

Approval of New Courses under Section 4(1)(a) and (b) and Institutions under Section 4(1)(c) of the PSM Act 1960

UNIVERSITY OF WALES COLLEGE OF MEDICINE

BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy

It was agreed that the Joint Validation Committee should liaise with the University of Wales with regard to the Outstanding Conditions, after which it will be sent for Chairman's Action.

Continued Approval of Institutions (and associated clinical placements), courses, Examinations and Qualifications under Section 5 of the PSM Act

UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM

BSc(Hons) Physiotherapy (Part-time pathway through full time route) Modifications to the BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy to enable a flexible learning pathway.

11.2.5 RADIOGRAPHERS

On the advice of the JVC and after scrutiny of the documentation:-

Approval of New Courses under Section 4(1)(a) and (b) and Institutions under Section 4(1)(c) of the PSM Act 1960

UNIVERSITY OF TEESSIDE:-

- a. under the terms of Section 4(1)(a)(b) and (c) of the Professions Supplementary to Medicine Act 1960, the course of training to be offered by, the examinations set by and the qualification awarded by the University of Teesside, and the institution itself, be approved as meeting the standards and requirements for State Registration, and
- b. under the terms of Section 4(2) of the Professions Supplementary to Medicine Act 1960, the Working Group recommends that the Health Professions Council send its recommendation to the Privy Council that it be requested to determine approval of the application by the University of Teesside to offer the registrable course and qualification as set out below:-

In Radiography

Type of Course Full-time

Institution of Training/Education University of Teesside

Qualification

MSc Allied Health Professional
Studies (with eligibility for State

Registration - Diagnostic

Radiography)

PgD Allied Health Professional Studies (with eligibility for state

registration - Diagnostic

Radiography)

Awarded by University of Teesside

Length of course 2 Years

Date of event 20th March 2002

With effect from February 2003

Participants in approval process Health Professions Council

College of Radiographers University of Teesside

ITEM 12 02/146 MINUTES OF THE REGISTRATION COMMITTEE HELD ON 12 NOVEMBER 2002

Received.

ITEM 13 02/147 QAA REPORTS ON THE OUTCOME OF MEETINGS ON PROGRAMME SPECIFICATIONS AND ON EXTERNAL EXAMINERS

The reports from QAA were received.

ITEM 14 02/148 ANY OTHER BUSINESS

- 14.1 The Secretary reported that criteria were being developed for how information outside the agenda would be distributed to members and should result in a greater filtering of communications. A paper would be presented to the next meeting.
- 14.2 The QAA Bulletin Higher Quality 11 was tabled. The latest LTSN Bulletin would be circulated.

ITEM 15 02/149 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

The next meeting would be at 10.30 am on 12 February 2003. The next meeting of the Educationalists Forum should be convened only after the relaunch of HPC in April 2003.

ITEM 16 02/150 MEETING IN PRIVATE

It was

RESOLVED (2)

that the remainder of the meeting be held in private because publicity would be prejudicial to the public interest, by reason of the confidential nature of the business to be transacted.

CHAIRMAN