- Agenda Item 9
 - Enclosure 7

Paper RC 16 / 03

REGISTRATION COMMITTEE

Registration Assessor Guidance

From : the Executive

FOR INFORMATION

Executive Summary

(

The Registration Assessor Guidance Manual details for the registration assessors the steps/processes to be followed when they assess applications.

The document is in the early stages of development and is included at this stage for information only.

Health Professions Council:

HPC Registration Assessor Guidance

1

Contents

Α	Introduction	3
В	HPC registration assessor processes	4
Арр	endix 1 – Assessor Feedback Form	7
Арр	endix 2 – Assessor Feedback Sheet	9

A Introduction

This operating manual details guidance on how the Health Professions Council's HPC registration assessors process applications for registration.

The guidance provides a basis for standardising processes across all types of applications and all professions.

In addition to this operating manual, documents that relate to the registration process and their intended audience include:

General public

- Benefits of state registration to public, employers and registrants
- Overview of the Registration Committee
- The Health Professions Council Register

Registrants

- Becoming a registrant of HPC
- · How can my profession become state registered
- Grandparenting
- Statement of good health, character and conduct
- Appeals
- Re-registration and CPD
- Returning to clinical practice

HPC registration assessors

• The role of a HPC registration assessor

B HPC registration assessor processes

1. Selection of HPC registration assessors

HPC registration officers will select HPC registration assessors on the basis of expertise for each profession and numbers of outstanding assessments that the HPC registration assessors already have.

HPC registration assessors are expected to be contactable and respond to communication from either HPC registration officers or co-assessors within a reasonable time.

If HPC registration assessors are unable to carry out assessments for a period of time due to holidays, workload, conferences or some other reason, they are required to inform the HPC, giving reasonable notice. In addition, HPC registration assessors should inform the HPC of any changes in contact details as soon as possible.

2. Copy application process

Each HPC registration assessor should receive copies of the application documents, an assessment feedback form (appendix $\frac{1}{2}$), self-addressed envelope and expense form (appendix $\frac{1}{2}$).

HPC registration assessors may receive a batch of up to 10 sets of application documents.

3. Assessment Timeframe

HPC registration assessors should complete assessments and feedback to the appropriate registration officer at HPC within 10 working days. Electronic copy of forms may be submitted via e-mail to meet the 10 day turn around time, if a hardcopy is forwarded immediately after.

The 10 day turn around time is taken from the date the application is sent out from HPC to the date the completed assessment feedback form is returned; this allows for the post. In cases where further verification is requested from the applicant, a HPC registration assessor may inform the registration officer of the decision by e-mail; the date of receipt then being the date your e-mail response was received.

HPC registration assessors should inform the registration officer if they are unable to complete the application assessments within this timeframe.

Failure to meet the required turnaround times may result in the withholding of payment. Similarly, failure to provide a clear and complete assessment within the terms of this agreement may result in the withholding of payment until the terms are met.

4. Assessment of application documents

HPC registration assessor pairs evaluate the documentation separately and then confer on the decision. Each assessor must complete the appropriate decision box / answer all questions on the assessment feedback form (i.e. the first named assessor is to complete the first box and the

second named assessor to complete the second box). Once the initial assessment is made, the registrant co-assessors should liase with each other and complete the agreed decision box.

HPC registration assessors are encouraged to resolve any major differences of opinion between themselves before feeding back to the registration officer.

The decision must be clearly indicated giving one of the following options:

Registration recommended

Assessor feedback form is returned to registration officer along with a completed timesheet and expenses claim form.

Further training and/or experience

Any reasons for recommendations for additional training or experience must be given with sufficient detail to feedback to the applicant to allow them to respond and continue the application process.

HPC registration assessors deciding upon recommending further training or experience must provide clear and detailed lists of applicants shortfalls and recommend how to address them, along with a minimum timeframe.

An applicant may submit evidence in the future to demonstrate that the recommended education and /or training has been achieved. This evidence, which must be submitted within one year of the date of the original application, or within the transitional period for grandparenting applications, will be forwarded to the original HPC registration assessor pairs if possible.

Test of competence

Any reasons for recommending a test of competence must be given with sufficient detail to feed back to the applicant to allow them to respond and continue the application process.

Reject

Any reasons for rejection must be given with sufficient detail to feed back to the applicant to allow them to respond and continue the application process.(can they continue if rejected?)

5. Request for further verification

If the information provided by the applicant is unclear, inconsistent or contradictory, the HPC registration assessors may ask for further verification once during the assessment process. The registration officer will write to the applicant on behalf of the HPC registration assessors. More than one request for information must be directed to the HPC registration assessor's supervisor.

If the joint decision is to ask for further verification, HPC registration assessors must retain the papers (or copies) until a response is received. On receipt of further information, the registration officer forwards a copy to both the original HPC registration assessors.

Assessors should attend clarification interviews if required and agreed to by the applicant. Clarification interviews are not tests, and can only be used to resolve unclear, ambiguous

contradictory or inconsistent information within the application. If the applicant does not agree to a clarification interview, assessors will decide on registration based on existing information. HPC will pay HPC registration assessors for travel expenses for clarification interviews together with an interview fee of $\pounds 30$.

6. Feedback to registration officers

HPC registration assessors will need to return the completed expenses form together with the completed assessment feedback form to HPC.

HPC registration assessors should assist registration officers in communication of technical issues with applicants should the need arise.

If the joint decision is acceptance for registration, the HPC registration assessors may destroy or return the documents being held for that application. HPC registration assessors should retain copies of notes and records to be used if the applicant appeals against a decision.

Appendix 1 – Assessor Feedback Form

I declare that I have completed this form to the best of my ability. All professional decisions have been based on the Standards of Proficiency and have not been influenced or modified to reflect those of any third party. I declare that all information is correct and accurate at the time of assessment and agree that this document may be used as evidence in the event of an appeal process, where I may be requested to defend my professional decisions.

Signed:	
Assessor Name:	
Date:	

Applicants Name:	
Applicant AA Number:	
Profession for which Assessment is being made:	
Date that Assessment was made:	

Would you please advise HPC of the following by ticking the appropriate box:

The applicant is recommended for registration	
The applicant is rejected for registration. Further training and/or experience is recommended.	
It is essential to state reasons on the attached feedback sheet together with a recommended length and type of training and/or experience that the applicant should pursue (please note that applicants must be given a fair opportunity to complete any recommended training and/or experience and reapply for registration within the grandparenting period or within year of their original application)	
The applicant should be offered a test of competence	
That further verification is required, before a decision can be reached, please state type of information required:	
The applicant is rejected for registration. (It is essential to give detailed reasons for this decision	

An applicant from this school has previously been accepted or rejected	Yes / No
Other information from previous assessments of applicants from the same level of experience, knowledge of appropriate clinical skills, ability to prace whether clinical practice skills meet the criteria for the Standards of Profice	ctice safely,

Please remember to enclose a completed timesheet and bank details for payment of HPC registration assessor fees.

Appendix 2 – Assessor Feedback Sheet

The following text relates to the following (please tick the relevant box):

Applicant is rejected for registration. Further training and/or experience is recommended.

Details include:

- The listed shortfalls (in relation to the standards of proficiency)
- The expected timeframes for completing the recommended training and/or experience

N.B. Further training /experience recommended should be that which can be obtained within one year or within the transitional period in order for the application to be continued.

Test of Competence required.

• Details state reasons for recommendation (in relation to the standards of proficiency)

Further verification required.

• Details state exactly what is needed to complete this application.

Applicant is rejected for registration.

• Details state reasons for rejection (in relation to the standards of proficiency)

9

Please enter details below: